

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (09-08)

Approved for use through 10/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) 03-292			
<p>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]</p> <p>on _____</p> <p>Signature _____</p> <p>Typed or printed name _____</p>	Application Number 10/698,659	Filed 10/31/2003			
	First Named Inventor Leistra et al.				
	Art Unit 1792	Examiner Tsoy, Elena			
<p>Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.</p> <p>This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.</p> <p>The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.</p> <p>I am the</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"><p><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</p><p><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</p><p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number <u>34,309</u></p><p><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</p></td><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"><p><u>/george a. coury/</u> Signature</p><p><u>George A. Coury</u> Typed or printed name</p><p><u>203-777-6628, x113</u> Telephone number</p><p><u>October 29, 2008</u> Date</p></td></tr></table> <p>NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.</p>				<p><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number <u>34,309</u></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</p>	<p><u>/george a. coury/</u> Signature</p> <p><u>George A. Coury</u> Typed or printed name</p> <p><u>203-777-6628, x113</u> Telephone number</p> <p><u>October 29, 2008</u> Date</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number <u>34,309</u></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</p>	<p><u>/george a. coury/</u> Signature</p> <p><u>George A. Coury</u> Typed or printed name</p> <p><u>203-777-6628, x113</u> Telephone number</p> <p><u>October 29, 2008</u> Date</p>				
<p><input type="checkbox"/> *Total of _____ forms are submitted.</p>					

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.*, GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/698,659 Confirmation No. 4437
Applicant : James A. Leistra
Filed : 10/31/2003
TC/A.U. : 1762
Examiner : Elena Tsoy
Docket No.: 03-292
Cust. No. : 34704

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

REASONS FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF CONFERENCE REQUEST

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted accompanying a Notice of Appeal and a Request for Pre-Appeal Brief Conference.

The Final Office Action of July 29, 2008, from which appeal has been taken, rejects the claims of the application as obvious over each of (1) Wessel et al., (2) Asukabe et al. in view of Wessel et al., and (3) Menjak et al, in each case combined with US 6,685,806 to Cadaval Fernandez De Leceta et al (hereafter "Cadaval").

Each of these rejections relies upon the Cadaval reference, which has been significantly misinterpreted by the Examiner, and even with this misinterpretation, the combination clearly fails to even remotely teach a critical limitation in the claims. Review of this rejection before forcing the applicant to file an Appeal Brief is appropriate and respectfully requested.

Each of claims 1 and 25 clearly calls for an electrode, a membrane between the electrodes, and a layer between the membrane and at least one of the electrodes. The claim calls for the layer to be a peroxide decomposition layer, specifically calls for the positive method step of depositing that layer, calls for that layer to have different properties from the electrode in terms of porosity, and for the layer to have a porosity of less than or equal to 20%. This limitation is totally absent from the art of record.

The Examiner relies upon Cadaval for this teaching, and cited the Abstract and column 6, lines 50-67 in support.

These portions of Cadaval are reprinted below for ease of reference. The Abstract is as follows:

Membrane-electrode assembly consisting of a cationic exchange membrane which contains fluorine (made of hydrolyzed copolymer of tetrafluoro-ethylene and vinyl ether which contains perfluorosulfur with PE-900-1300) and porous layers of electrode material (made of electrocatalyst), inactive electroconductor material and fluoropolymer agglutinating material arranged on both surfaces of the cationic exchange membrane. The cationic exchange membrane which contains the fluorine is made of hydrolyzed copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene with vinyl ether which contains perfluorosulfur, having a crystallinity grade between 2 and 8%; porous layers of the electrode material are obtained which have a porosity comprised between 40 and 70% and decreasing in the direction of the cationic exchange membrane surface with a porosity gradient from 5 to 15% par 1μ . Said membrane-electrode assembly is used in fuel cells, in water electrolysis and in other electrochemical process.

Clearly, the teaching here is of a porosity between 40 and 70%, with a gradient (or rate of change) between these limits of between 5 and 15% per 1μ . Equally clearly, this does not at all teach a porosity of less than or equal to 20% as called for in the present claims.

Column 6, lines 50-67 are as follows:

50 Formation of the layer with porosity that decreases in the
direction of cation-exchange membrane with a porosity
gradient of 5-15% per 1 μ p improves the electrochemical
characteristics of MEA. Such porosity is achieved when the
55 layers of an electrode material are applied to the membrane
surface containing fluorcontaining binder dissolved in a
mixture of organic solvents with different boiling points
(preferably as a 1-5% solution). The binder is a fluoro-
polymer which is identical to the fluoropolymer from
60 which the membrane is made. Such combination of the
fluoropolymer with the mixture of solvents, together with
removal of the solvents during a multistage increase of the
temperature from 20-35° C. to 80-100° C., provides the
65 necessary porosity gradient, with general porosity preferably
40-70%, without the need to use any special methods to
obtain it.

It is equally clear that this teaching is consistent with the
Abstract, and that nothing whatsoever is taught about a porosity of
less than or equal to 20%.

The above argument was made in a Request for Reconsideration
filed on April 8, 2008. The Examiner responded in the instant Final
Rejection (July 29, 2008) stating the following:

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. The '806 teaches that formation
of the layer with porosity that decreases in the direction of cation-exchange membrane with a
porosity gradient of 5-15% per 1 μ p improves the electrochemical characteristics of MEA (See
column 6, lines 50-55, wherein *general* porosity preferably 40-70% (See column 6, lines 64-
66). In other words, the porosity gradient improves the electrochemical characteristics of MEA at
general porosity outside preferred range of 40-70%. Therefore, in contrast to Applicants
argument, obviously porosity gradient would improve at any reasonable porosity of the electrode
including claimed porosity of 20 % or less.

Where exactly the Examiner arrives at some teaching "outside the preferred range of 40-70%" is not explained. No reasoning is provided as to the underlined conclusion, and it is submitted that there is no reasoning which would support such a conclusion. The reference teaches upper and lower limits significantly different from the claimed porosity, and teaches only as to what is desired between these limits. The Examiner has clearly failed to set forth a *prima facie* case for obviousness, and the Applicant should not be burdened with the cost of an Appeal Brief in order to respond.

It is also worthy of note that the issues with respect to Wessel and the other art of record remain, and will be pursued in an appeal brief if necessary.

This paper is accompanied by a Notice of Appeal and Request for Pre-Appeal Brief Conference, along with authorization of the fee for appeal and an extension of time. It is believed that no other fee is due. If any additional fee is due, please charge same to deposit account 02-0184.

Respectfully submitted,

By /george a. cory/
George A. Cory
Attorney for the Applicant
Tel: (203) 777-6628
Fax: (203) 865-0297
E-mail: docket@bachlap.com

October 29, 2008